



Commissioner of Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
PO Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450
United States of America

By EFS

In Re Application of: Keith James Hensel
US Application Number: 10/575847
Filing Date: 14 April 2006
Title: Citrus Reamer and Press
Group Art Unit: 3742
Examiner: Simone, Timothy F
Attorney Docket No: BRE0326U

17 June 2011

Arguments and Amendments

Dear Sirs,

The Applicant is in receipt of the Office Action Summary mailed on 27 April 2011. In that summary, all claims were rejected. The attached claim amendments and arguments are intended to place all claims in condition for allowance and the same is respectfully urged.

The examiner contends that claim 1 is rejectable under 35USC112, second paragraph for being "incomplete". The examiner is reminded the Section 112 does not without further explanation relate to a claim being "incomplete" or having a "gap between the element". The Applicant has no idea what the examiner is talking about. In any event, the claim is directed to an electric citrus press device. Numerous examples of electric citrus presses are known in the prior art. The Applicant did not invent the electric citrus press and the term is commonly used in this art. The electric citrus press has a rotating reamer. It is believed that most or all electric citrus presses have a rotating reamer. Claim 1 has been clarified by adding the limitation "motorised" to make it clear that the rotating reamer is acted upon by an electric motor.